\_\_\_\_\_ Selection\_\_\_\_\_ School Number\_\_\_\_\_

Director\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_Judge\_\_\_\_\_

## JUDGING SCALE: 1 = Below Average; 2 = Average; 3 = Good; 4 = Superior; 5 = Excellent

ELEMENTS EVALUATED/CRITERIA	JUDGING SCALE				
SCRIPT: Did the program meet acceptable literary standards? Was it good					
literature, in good taste? Was it well organized?	1	2	3	4	5
<b>INTERPRETATION &amp; UNDERSTANDING OF THE THEME:</b> Did the artistic					
choices that composed the program have a foundation in an interesting,		_			_
defensible interpretation of the script? Did the readers and director	1	2	3	4	5
understand the theme of the program?					
<b>STYLE:</b> Did the program allow full expression of the values of the script as		~	~	4	~
interpreted?	1	2	3	4	5
<b>BUSINESS &amp; ENSEMBLE GROUPINGS:</b> Did the placement of the interpreters facilitate the picturization of the program (the groupings may					
change to show changes in the literature)? Did the body language convey the	1	2	3	4	5
meaning of the selection?	1	2	3	4	5
<b>RHYTHM, TEMPO AND PACE:</b> Was there an attempt to point up a defined					
rhythm in the interpretation? Did the interpretation give the impression of					
smoothness?	1	2	3	4	5
<b>READERS COMMUNICATION:</b> Were the readers able to communicate the					
physical and emotional makeup of their characters? Were the readers able to					
communicate clearly and artistically the demands of the script as was intended	1	2	3	4	5
by this interpretation?	-	_	Ū	•	Ū
<b>READERS CHARACTERIZATION:</b> Were the readers able to particularize the					
problems of their individual character? Were the characters believable?	1	2	3	4	5
<b>ENSEMBLE:</b> Did each individual interpretation fit into the program as a					
whole? Was the emphasis on the whole interpretation rather than the	4	2	2	4	F
individual? Did the group function as a unit?	1	2	3	4	5
VOICE AND DICTION: Were the voices of the readers adapted to the					
character(s) they were portraying? Was enunciation and articulation distinct?	1	2	3	4	5
Did the readers project?	I	2	3	4	5
<b>OVERALL EFFECT:</b> Did the director sufficiently challenge the readers and the					
audience? No distinction shall be made between serious and light presentations;	1	2	3	4	5
each program shall be considered by the critic upon its own merits.	1	2	5	-	5
TOTAL =					
COMMENTS:					
E (Excellent) = 50-41; S (Superior) = 40-31; G (Good) = 30-21; A (Average) = 20-11; BA	(Belo	w A	/erag	je) =	10-1

Rank\_\_\_\_Rating\_\_\_\_Judge\_\_\_\_\_

Time used for set-up and striking \_\_\_\_\_ Performance Length \_\_\_

Note: Judges are not to collaborate on their decisions. ٠

No oral critiques will be given. •

See rules a-s as stated on pg. 17-18 in the MSHSAA Speech & Debate Manual. •