

Tennis Advisory Committee Summary for Staff Recommendations - 2020 Held on Tuesday, November 3, 2020

Y 1 – Recommend entering a team line-up in post-season play (district and sectional) should be required, and fined, if not completed

RATIONALE: If fines are assessed for failing to complete the line-ups during the regular season, they should also be assessed for the “winning” post-season teams.

Motion passed 8-2

Y 2 – Recommend the scoring for Team post-season competitions are as follows; double will be an 8-game pro-set ad scoring with regular tiebreaker used in an 8-8 tie. Singles will be best 2 out of 3 with no ad scoring with 10-point match tiebreaker in lieu of the 3rd set. The doubles matches will be played first followed by the singles using a 6-3 format.

RATIONALE: During the regular season, schools are NOT playing full sets for multiple reasons; including no lights, length of time it takes to play “ad scoring” along with playing full sets, schools playing 3-4 matches in a week and the players that are playing singles are also playing doubles. The Post-Season format (like volleyball) should mirror what is being played in the regular season. In addition, it would assist in a more manageable schedule during the post-season, especially the State Championships and allow those tournaments to be more efficient.

Motion passed 8-1-1

A 3 – Recommended to adjust rank order frequency to allow players to move up or down the rank order two positions between dual meets but no more than one time a day. The language to be added to the manual would be: ***“in addition, a player can move a maximum of two spots between duals played on nonconsecutive days.”***

RATIONALE: As the rule is currently written, a player can ONLY move one rank order spot between dual matches, regardless of time span between those two matches. Therefore, if there is a week between matches, a player could only move 1 rank order spot during that time. Sometimes, situations on teams happen more frequently in that time frame.

Motion passed 9-0

***Survey B/G Tennis Coaches – If Majority are in favor, then, YES.**

Results: Yes = 72%, No = 28%

N 4 – Recommended to add clarification to show that not only if seeds #2 & #3 are from the same school, but also if #1 & #4 are from the same school, as it relates to seeding for the individual district

tournament. The added language would be: ***“If seeding occurs beyond #3, and they are from the same team, players should receive the highest available seed on the other half of the draw.” should be added to 4.E.3A of the manual.***

Motion passed 9-0

1 – Where does it end? 4 seed?, 5 seed? 8 seed? 12 seed?

2 – Why is there a concern for playing someone from your same school?

 Y 5– Recommended that prior to the beginning of a dual match (Doubles portion), there is no required warm-up with the opponent. Warm-ups are expected to be completed with your team only. Players shall be ready to play at the announced time.

RATIONALE: There is currently too much time spent warming up with the opponents prior to dual matches being played. This is for the “beginning” of dual matches only. Warm-ups are expected to be completed with your team ONLY.

Motion passed 8-0. (Jamie Vargas was no longer present for meeting).

 Y 6 – Recommended to change start times for team state semi-finals and finals/3rd place duals for the classifications as follows:

Day 1 – Class X plays at 9:00 a.m. & 3:00 p.m.

Day 1 & 2 – Class Y plays at 12:00 p.m. (Day 1) and 12:00 p.m. (Day 2)

Day 2 – Class Z plays at 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m.

RATIONALE: This would minimize (NOT eliminate) the number of overnights that some teams will have to stay based upon the current format. Feedback from the State Tournament Coaches is that they felt the tournament went VERY WELL. This recommendation changes nothing on the schedule, structure and planning of the tournament.

Motion passed 8-0

****Classes must rotate each year***

 Y 7 – Recommended to change the scoring of the INDIVIDUAL Post-Season to: Best 2 out of 3, ad scoring, match tiebreak in lieu of 3rd set (BOTH singles and doubles). The State Championships INDIVIDUAL scoring alterations would be as follows:

Post –season alterations:

i. Consolation Bracket

1. No ad scoring match tiebreak in lieu of 3rd set

2. Short sets (score starts at 2-2)
 3. 8-game pro-sets
 4. Elimination of the consolation bracket if necessary
- ii. Winners Bracket
1. No ad scoring match tiebreak in lieu of 3rd set
 2. Short sets (score starts at 2-2)
 3. 8-game pro-sets

RATIONALE: The post-season scoring for the Individual Tournament needs “consistency” throughout the tournament. This scoring format is how “most” schools are scoring their tournaments during the season. In addition, with the increased number of classes, this will be more manageable at the Championships than what the current scoring format is. Do NOT need for 13 hour days of Tennis, as this is not good for the sport, players, coaches or officials.

Motion passed 8-0

Staff recommendations are shown to the left of each item.

Y = Yes	The staff’s recommendation is for the Board to approve the committee’s recommendation.
Y as Amended	The staff’s recommendation is to approve the committee’s recommendation, as amended/modified by the staff; the original committee recommendation can be viewed in the committee minutes.
N = No	The staff’s recommendation is for the Board to deny the committee’s recommendation for the reasons listed.
D = Discuss	The staff’s recommendation is for the Board to discuss the committee’s recommendation due to a variety of pros and cons being associated with its approval.
T = Table	The staff’s recommendation is to table the item for further study or to table the item and refer it to another committee.